

He/C₂H₆を用いたドリフトチェンバーの

高頻度照射下でのエイジング

西口 創 (KEK素核研), 他 MEG コラボレーション



JPS Meeting, 11-14/Sep./2010, Kyushu Institute of Technology

contents

- MEG Experiment
- MEG e⁺ Spectrometer
- MEG Drift Chamber
- Phenomena after Operation
- Provision (for a while)
- Conclusion

MEG Experiment



MEG Experiment

- * Search Experiment for " $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ "
 - * $\mu \rightarrow e\nu\nu \sim 100\%$ (normal muon decay in SM)
 - * $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ violates Lepton Flavour Conservation
 - * Even assuming "SM" + "Neutrino-Oscillation", $B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ is predicted to be < 10⁻⁵⁰
 - * However many models of beyond SM predicts large $B \sim 10^{-15} \sim -11$ (present limit = 1.2×10^{-11})



- * New experiment with a <u>Sensitivity of $B \sim 10^{-13}$ was proposed at PSI</u>
 - * Two orders of magnitude better than current best limit
 - Cover the most of theoretically predicted region
 - Physics data-taking started 2008 and is currently running.

Hunting for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

Signal and Backgrounds



- * Clear 2-body kinematics ($E_e = E_\gamma = 52.8 \text{MeV}$, $\theta_{e\gamma} = 180^\circ$, Time Coincidence)
- * Sensitivity is Limited by "Accidental Overlap"
 - * DC muon is the Best Solution
 - * Good Resolution (Energy, Spacial and Timing) under Very High Rate

Hunting for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

* Signal and Backgrounds





World Most Intense

- DC Muon Beam at PSI cs ($E_e = E_\gamma = 52.8 \text{MeV}$, $\theta_{e\gamma} = 180^\circ$, Time Coincidence) 10⁸ muon/sec
 - * DC muon is the Best Solution
 - * Good Resolution (Energy, Spacial and Timing) under Very High Rate

Hunting for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

* Signal and Backgrounds







World Most Intense DC Muon Beam at PSI _{CS} 10⁸ muon/sec Sensitivity is Limited by

Liquid Xenon Scintillation Detector for gamma-ray (13pSM03, 白) の"

80°, Time Coincidence)

- * DC muon is the Best Solution
- * Good Resolution (Energy, Spacial and Timing) under Very High Rate

Hunting for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

Signal and Backgrounds







World Most Intense DC Muon Beam at PSI _{CS} 10⁸ muon/sec Sensitivity is Limited by

Liquid Xenon Scintillation Detector for gamma-ray (13pSM03, 白) ap//

COBRA Spectrometer for positron (13pSM02, 藤井)

- * DC muon is the Best Solution
- * Good Resolution (Energy, Spacial and Timing) under Very High Rate

MEG e⁺ Spectrometer



Requirements for Positron Spectrometer

- * Very high counting rate
 - * the most intense DC muon beam in the world
 - * muon stopping rate : 3x10⁷ muon/sec
- * Good momentum/position/timing resolution
 - aiming excellent sensitivity
 - <1% momentum resolution, 500µm position resolution for both direction(r,z) and 50 ps timing resolution

Low-mass material

- 52.8MeV/c positron can be affected by multiple Coulomb scattering easily
- γ background generation should be suppressed as much as possible

Special B-field

new sensitive & light DC

MEG Positron Spectrometer



MEG Drift Chamber



MEG Drift Chamber - Anode

- * He-C₂H₆ (50:50) , 16 modules (2 layers of axial wires) have 4.5mm cell space.
- * Trapezoidal Open frame (Carbon Fibre) have 4 cathode planes (See Next Slide)



MEG Drift Chamber - Cathode

- * Each Plane has 2 cathode foils with patterned Aluminum electrode.
 - 12.5 μm polyimide with 250 nm Aluminum etching
 - * Vernier Pad method is adopted to obtain good resolution with low material



* Thanks to this open-frame structure, helium-base gas mixture, ultra-thin cathode, $0.00025X_0$ /module ($0.002X_0$ /track) is achieved.

Phenomena of DC (after beam operation)



Expectation

* Anode Damage:

- * Due to 50% of C_2H_6 content (quencher for high gas gain) and high counting rate (~10kHz/cm²), anode coating is possibly occurred.
 - Very Small Cell Size (9mm x 7mm) , Only 3 Months of Operation could cause such a serious damage ???
- Any other damages ?

* Cathode Damage:

- * Same as anode, coating with hydrocarbon is possibly occurred.
 - * Should be much safer than anode due to large surface.
- Any other damages ?
- * If we would be suffered from any unexpected damages, how can we survive ??

Observations on Anode

- * Anode Coating is Observed in some modules @ shut down maintenances
- * Comparison; "Brand-New", "3 Months Operation", "6 Months Operation"



New

3 Months

6 Months

- * Obviously a certain coating is confirmed, and it is growing up.
- * However, there is no clear evidence whether it causes a problem on operation.

Observations on Cathode

* Electron Microscope view and it's EDX (energy dispersed x-ray spectroscopy)



- * No clear observation of hydrocarbon coating !
- * But...
 - there were many unexpected observations...

Unexpected Observations (1)

Many Spots along wire



- * Only central part of slab, Only innermost (and some more) cell has such spots.
 - * Related to irradiation ??? (innermost cell is the hottest cell, ~10kHz/cm²)
 - EDX said a little more carbon content, but no objection...

Unexpected Observations (2)

Aluminum Pealing Off



- * Observed in several modules at very similar region of Observation-(1).
 - * Only central part, Only Innermost cell...

Unexpected Observations (3)

White Shadow on Cathode pads



- Such planes showed a certain remaining current after beam blocked, so called "self-sustaining field emission (Malter effect)"
 - * Thus, probably these shadow is a cover of insulated material.











Unexpected Observations (3)

White Shadow on Cathode pads



- Such planes showed a certain remaining current after beam blocked, so called "self-sustaining field emission (Malter effect)"
 - * Thus, probably these shadow is a cover of insulated material.

Unexpected Observations (3)

White Shadow on Cathode pads



- Such planes showed a certain remaining current after beam blocked, so called "self-sustaining field emission (Malter effect)"
 - Thus, probably these shadow is a cover of insulated material.

Provision

- For Anode:
 - * Nothing is Done (several modules were replaced at every shutdown)
- * For Cathode:
 - For Aluminum peeling off and mysterious spots
 - * No clear reason was found.
 - * Just to have a good adhesion of sputtering is tried; Adding 0.5 nm of Ni-Cr under-layer could help a lot.
 - * For Self-Sustaining Field Emission
 - * Just "Clean/Careful" production... Let's see how is it going during this year's First long-term operation.

Conclusions

- After two operation periods (2008 and 2009) of the MEG experiment, several DC modules showed certain radiation damages.
- * No clear reason for these phenomena was found so far.
- * We need treatments to improve the situation.
- Following provisions were made;
 - No special action for anode, just replace modules by new one as occasion arises.
 - * Good adhesion of aluminum sputtering by adding a Ni-Cr sub layer.
 - * Clean/Careful production of foil to avoid any insulator growth.
- Let's see how is it going for this year's operation (the 1st long term operation.)

backups

COBRA Solenoid



low energy e+ quickly swept out



constant bending radius independent of emission angles

