

A study on various gain calibration methods of the photo-sensor, SiPM 光検出器SiPMのゲイン較正手法に関する研究

Kazuki Toyoda

Toshinori Mori, Wataru Ootani, Satoru Kobayashi, Atsushi Oya, Kosuke Yanai ICEPP, The University of Tokyo

Outline

≻Introduction: About SiPM

≻Statistical Method

► Waveform Method

Introduction

SiPM

•SiPM is photon detector made of semiconductor.

 \circ Photon generates electron & hole pair.

 \circ multiplication of electron by avalanche

 \circ same output from a cell (Geiger mode) \rightarrow photoncounting

oAdvantage

- small
- low bias voltage
- can be used in B field

oNoise

- Dark Count
- Crosstalk
- Afterpulsing



Ferenc Nagy et al. "Afterpulse and delayed crosstalk analysis on a STMicroelectronics silicon photomultiplier",Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 759 (2014) 44 – 49



Hamamatsu 光半導体素子ハンドブック

Gain

➢ Gain: relation b/w output & # of photoelectrons
 ○ depends on over voltage, temperature, ...
 ○ must be checked regularly in experiment

Measuring Gain from single p.e. (photoelectron)
 Since SiPM can detect 1 photon, output charge distribution has peak structure.

 \rightarrow <u>Gain can be directly obtained from interval of peaks</u>

 \circ Limitation

- <u>need good S/N</u> to separate each peak
- <u>need dedicated run</u> if dark count rate is small
- \rightarrow We will introduce redundant methods



Introduction

Set up



- SiPM : MPPC S13360-3050PE (Hamamatsu)
 - effective area : 3 mm × 3 mm
 - pixel pitch : 50 µm
 - # of pixels : 3600
- \circ Amplifier : MAR amplifier (PSI) (amplification factor: ~70)
- Waveform Digitizer : DRS4 chip (PSI)
 - sampling rate : 1.6 GHz
- \circ Temperature was kept at 23 °C

Outline

≻Introduction: About SiPM

► <u>Statistical Method</u>

≻Waveform Method

Previous Study: PMT

<u>A. Baldini et al., MEG Collaboration,</u> Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 545 (2005) 753

 \circ When # of photoelectrons follows Poisson distribution (mean: μ), mean & variance of output charge are

• mean = gain
$$\cdot \mu$$

• variance = $gain^2 \cdot \mu$

$$gain = \frac{variance}{mean}$$

- Charge distribution is broadened by Gaussian noise (σ). \rightarrow subtract σ^2 from variance
- o already used in experiments (ex. MEG)



Previous Study: SiPM

Assuming # of crosstalks from 1 cell follows Poisson distribution,

total # of p.e. follows Generalized Poisson distribution.

 $GP_{\mu,\lambda}(k) := \frac{\mu(\mu+k\lambda)^{k-1}e^{-(\mu+k\lambda)}}{k!} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mu: \text{ mean w/o crosstalk} \\ \lambda: \text{ crosstalk probability} \end{array}$

• $E[k] = \frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}$

•
$$V[k] = \frac{\mu}{(1-\lambda)^3}$$

 \times when each of crosstalk & after-pulse probability < 25 %

 \circ Then, defining Excess Noise Factor as $ENF := \mu \frac{variance}{mean^2}$,

 $gain = \frac{1}{ENF^2} \frac{variance}{mean}$

oENF is calculated from pedestal fraction.

$$GP_{\mu,\lambda}(k=0) = e^{-\mu}$$

o tested with KETEK SiPM (4384 pixels, 15µm ×15µm area)



Chmill, V. et al. Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A854 (2017)

8/19

Characteristics of the Method

≻Advantage

ocan be used even when peaks are not separated

- when S/N is bad
- when using strong light
- ≻Limitation

Oconstant light source is necessary.oneed to know or assume ENF beforehand

We tried this method with Hamamatsu MPPC.

- \rightarrow Some problems were found.
 - ENF depends on light intensity
 - variance/mean depends on light intensity

ENF Variation

ENF vs Light Intensity



 \rightarrow dark count contribution in weak light region?

Non-linearity of variance vs mean



Gain vs Over Voltage

Plot of variance vs mean is not linear. because of improper assumption?

- crosstalk/after-pulse probability, delayed crosstalk
- model of correlated noises
- \rightarrow fit by quadratic and use slope @origin

0.05 Gain from single pe Gain from Statistical Method 0.04 ¢ 0.03 Ű, 0.02 . 0.01 2 3 5 over voltage /V • ENF was calculated with light whose mean p.e. is ~ 4 . \circ precision: ~ 2.5 % • Consistent gain was obtained.



Summary

➢Summary

 \circ Previous study shows gain can be obtained from statistics of charge distribution.

 \circ We tested the method with Hamamatsu MPPC.

• Although it was found that ENF & variance/mean depend on light intensity, we obtained gain consistent with that from single p.e.

≻Problems & to do

 \circ The reason of

- large ENF @weak light
- non-linearity b/w variance & mean are not understood.
 - \rightarrow investigate using simulation

Outline

≻Introduction: About SiPM

≻Statistical Method

► <u>Waveform Method</u>

Previous Study

•When pulses are separated,

- charge = $\int V(t) dt \propto N_{pulse} \cdot gain$
- height squared = $\int (V(t))^2 dt \propto N_{pulse} \cdot gain^2$
- \rightarrow gain \propto heigh squared/charge
 - You can monitor gain without any dedicated run when waveform is accessible.
 - Constant light source is not needed.

oto separate pulses, take derivatives

• Considering S/N, 2nd derivative is best.

ohas been demonstrated using PMT (sampling rate: 2.5 GHz)

 \circ We applied this method to SiPM for the first time. \rightarrow effect of overlapping due to prompt crosstalk



J. Stein *et al.* Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 782 (2015)

 $\times N$





Extension of the model

o effect of white noise $V_{noise}(t)$

- charge = $\int (V(t) + V_{noise}(t)) dt \propto N_{pulse} \cdot gain$
- height squared = $\int (V(t) + V_{noise}(t))^2 dt \simeq const. N_{pulse} \cdot gain^2 + noise$

 \rightarrow height squared = $c_0 + c_1 \cdot charge$, $c_1 \propto gain$

oeffect of overlapping

- due to large # of photoelectrons in unit time
- due to prompt crosstalk

When completely overlapped,

- height squared = $\int (V(t))^2 dt \propto N_{pulse}^2 \cdot gain^2$
- \rightarrow Overlapping results in large height squared.



 $V(t) \propto gain$

 $\times N$

Result



ooffset

• effect of white noise

otail

- effect of overlap due to crosstalk
- search for peak @ each # of photoelectron

opeak shift

- deviate from linear in large # of photoelectrons
- Large # of photoelectrons in unit time makes much overlapping.

Result

➢ Measurement changing Over Voltage

slope @origin



Correlation is not linear, but <u>clear enough to monitor gain.</u>
If you calibrate beforehand, you can also obtain absolute gain.

Summary

≻Summary

OPrevious study shows PMT gain can be monitored using waveform.OWe considered effect of noise and overlap.

• We obtained <u>correlation clear enough to monitor gain</u>.

≻Problems & to do

• Stability of correlation must be checked.

oshould be checked using other light source such as scintillatoroEffect of sampling rate should be checked.

Summary as Comparative Study

≻Single p.e.

omeasure single p.e. directly

• peaks must be separated

≻Statistical Method

ouse mean & variance

- advantage: S/N is not required
- limitation : need constant light source, assumption on ENF

≻Waveform Method

ouse waveform

- advantage: w/o dedicated run
- limitation: need calibration to obtain gain itself
- To understand behavior of each variable, simulation study will be continued.



Introduction

SiPM



KAPDC0029JA

KAPDC0006JC

Hamamatsu 光半導体素子ハンドブック

Introduction



Amp Linearity (Charge)



Output Charge vs Input Charge



Fitted value of par[1]=Mean

Amp Linearity (Height)





Fitted value of par[1]=Mean

JPS 2018 Autumn Meeting @Matsumoto

Charge distribution

• Generalized Poisson distribution

$$GP_{k;\mu,\lambda} = \frac{\mu(\mu+k\lambda)^{k-1}e^{-(\mu+k\lambda)}}{k!}$$

- $mean = \frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}$ • $variance = -\frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}$
- v variance = $\frac{\mu}{(1-\lambda)^3}$
- \circ broaden following Gaussian distribution

$$Gauss_{x;k,\sigma_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_k} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-x_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}\right)$$

- charge of k electron: $x_k = pedestal + gain \cdot k$
- standard deviation of kth peak: $\sigma_k^2 = \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_c^2 \cdot k$
- Convolution

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \sum_{k=0} GP_{k;\mu,\lambda} \cdot Gauss_{x;k,\sigma_k}$$

• $mean - pedestal = \frac{\mu}{1-\lambda}gain$

•
$$var - \sigma_0^2 = \left(\frac{gain}{(1-\lambda)^2} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{gain}\right) (mean - pedestal) \sim \frac{gain}{(1-\lambda)^2} (mean - pedestal)$$

^{16 Sep 2018} JPS 2018 Autumn Meeting @Matsumoto



Dark Count, Delayed Crosstalk, Afterpulsing

- o Dark Count
 - DCR (Dark Count Rate): # of Dark Count in a unit time
 - $p_{DC} = \frac{1}{DCR \cdot IntegrationTime}$

•
$$\frac{dp}{dx} = (1 - p_{DC})GP_0; \mu, \lambda$$

 $+\sum_{k=1}\{(1-p_{DC})GP_{k;\mu,\lambda}\cdot Gauss_{x;k,\sigma_k}+p_{DC}GP_{k-1;\mu,\lambda}\cdot Gauss_{x;k-1,\sigma_{k-1}}\}$

- Delayed Crosstalk
 - sometimes come in integration range
 - Charge is same as prompt Crosstalk
- AfterPulsing
 - amplitude is small because under quenching recovery
 - timing follows exponential distribution

Dark Count



Pedestal Run











JPS 2018 Autumn Meeting @Matsumoto

0.1

Charge

2000

-0.05







16 Sep 2018

Charge Histogram of Weak Light



JPS 2018 Autumn Meeting @Matsumoto

Variance vs Mean



EQF & ENF

• $ENF \coloneqq \mu \frac{variance - \sigma_0^2}{(mean - pedestal)^2}$ • $EQF \coloneqq \frac{(mean - pedestal)/gain}{\mu}$



Sigma





100 120 # of photoelectrons

of photoelectrons

of photoelectrons



Skewness



Height Squared vs Charge



Linear Fitting



Quadratic Fitting











