





# MEG II実験液体キセノン検出器の ビーム環境下での性能評価

# In-beam performance of MEG II liquid Xe detector

小川真治、他MEG IIコラボレーション @日本物理学会 第73回年次大会 2018.03.25

## Table of contents

# 1. Introduction

# 2. Pilot run 2017 & In-beam detector performance

## **MEG II experiment**

#### **Upgrade of MEG experiment**

- Searches for  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ .
- μ<sup>+</sup> stopping rate will be doubled
  - $3 \times 10^7 \, \mu/s \rightarrow 7 \times 10^7 \, \mu/s$
- Detection efficiency will improve.
- Resolutions of all detectors will become half.
- New detector for background tagging will be introduced

#### Expected sensitivity: 6 × 10<sup>-14</sup>

 One order of magnitude better than MEG



#### Reference : "The design of the MEG II experiment" . arXiv:1801.04688



## LXe detector upgrade

MEG II

We have upgraded LXe detector for MEG II to significantly improve the performance.



### We have replaced 216 2-inch PMTs on the γ-entrance face with 4092 12 × 12 mm<sup>2</sup> MPPCs.

- Better granularity
  - Better position resolution
- Better uniformity of scintillation readout
  - Better energy resolution
- Less material of the γ-entrance face
  - Better detection efficiency



## **Expected performance**

• Significant improvement of all resolutions and efficiency are expected.

#### Detector performance for signal γ-ray

	MEG (measured)	MEG II (simulated)
Position	~5 mm	~2.5 mm
Energy	~2%	0.7 - 1.5%
Timing	62 ps	40 - 70 ps
Efficiency	65%	70%







## Table of contents

## 1. Introduction

# 2. Pilot run 2017 & in-beam detector performance

## LXe detector in 2017

- LXe detector in 2017
  - Detector commissioning
    - LXe control & purification. Performance measurement of all sensors. etc...
    - Reference : "Commissioning of all MPPCs for MEG II LXe detector" • at "JPS. 2017年秋季大会"
  - Pilot run with muon beam. : This talk
    - ~Two week beam time at the End of 2017 Dec.
    - y-ray DAQ from radiative muon decay and Michel positron annihilation.
- In-beam performance estimation of detector.
  - Detector stability : OK
  - Gamma-ray DAQ : OK
  - Detector performance estimation
    - Position resolution : Ongoing.  $\rightarrow$  **25aK206-3**

- Timing resolution : OK
- **Energy resolution : Noise problem found**

## **Detector stability**

- Detector stability is successfully monitored.
  - MPPC gain
  - PMT Gain : 4% gain decrease by aging effect.
  - Light yield : Stable at 4% precision.
  - etc...

#### **MPPC Gain**

- ~1000 MPPCs operated in LXe.
- Monitored by two methods.
  - By MPPC 1 p.e. charge. (Absolute meas. of gain.)
  - By LED charge at fixed light intensity. (Relative meas. of gain change.)
- Gradual change of gain in both methods.
  - correlated with LXe temperature.

Similar method with MEG.

#### MPPC Gain History (All channel average)



## Gamma-ray DAQ

- Gamma-ray data taking was successfully performed.
  - Trigger on sum of MPPC waveform. (threshold: 30-45 MeV)
- Use WaveDREAM (electronics developed for MEG II) for readout.
  - Read out 25% of detector. (960 MPPCs + 192 PMTs)



## Gamma-ray DAQ



Zoom in



## Granularity improvement by MPPC



## Gamma timing resolution in MEG II

- Background
  - In the previous MC study,
     MEG II timing resolution can be 40-70 ps depending on the noise level.
  - Reference : "Improvement of the event reconstruction method for the MEG II liquid xenon detector" at "JPS. 2016年年次大会"
- Goal : Check timing resolution in real noise environment.
   →Perform even-odd analysis.



 $argma^{"}\sigma_{absolute}(T_{\gamma})$ " is a part of " $\sigma_{even-odd}(T_{\gamma})$ ". Difference is "TOF uncertainty of hit position" etc...

## How to reconstruct gamma timing

- Gamma timing is reconstructed from timing from MPPC & PMT waveforms.
  - Timing extraction by waveform analysis
    - +  $\chi^2$  min fit of time information from all ch.



## **Timing resolution**

- Even-odd timing resolution is 44 ps @ 50MeV.
- MC is consistent with data.
  - same readout ch, p.e. statistics, same noise level
- 50 ps absolute resolution can be expected in the final configuration.
   →Indicates ~15% sensitivity improvement from MEG II nominal scenario.
- Next step is to measure the absolute resolution. -> Planned in 2018.
  - TOF uncertainty (position resolution).
  - Effect from coherent noise.



<b>Tγ</b> resolution	Even-odd	absolute
Data	44 ps	???
MC w/ noise	44 ps	50-52 ps



## **Energy resolution & noise problem**

14

- Energy of γ : reconstructed from sum of charge of all MPPCs and PMTs.
   → Easily affected by coherent noise.
- Large low-frequency coherent noise was observed.
  - ~ 1% of signal  $\gamma$ . (1/4 of detector)  $\rightarrow$  2-4% by read out whole detector.
  - Our goal of Eγ resolution is 1%.
     →Needs to be reduced by factor of 2-4.
- Effort to reduce it is ongoing both from hardware and software.



## Summary

- As a final phase of detector commissioning, pilot run of LXe detector was carried out. Detector performance is being estimated.
- Detector stability was successfully monitored.
- Data taking of gamma-ray from muon decay was carried out.

%Position reconstruction
: 25aK206-3

• Timing resolution by even-odd analysis is estimated to be 44 ps in real noise environment.

→Absolute timing resolution is expected to be 50ps, which indicates 15% sensitivity improvement from nominal scenario.

- Low frequency coherent noise was found and it affects energy resolution.
  - $\rightarrow$ Needs to be reduced by factor 2-4. Investigation ongoing.

- Electronics to read out all channels

   + monochromatic gamma-ray source will be available.
   → Energy resolution measurement.
- Reference timing counter

   + coincident two γ from π<sup>0</sup> will be available.
   → Absolute timing resolution measurement.
- %R&D of reference counter : 25aL401-5

 Engineering run of all MEG II detectors will be done in 2018, and physics data taking will start from 2019.

# BACKUP

## $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ search

- We search for charged **lepton flavor violating decay of muon**, μ->eγ.
- Prohibited in SM, detectable branching ratio in some BSM model
- Main background is the accidental background.
- Detector resolutions, especially energy resolution of γ-ray, are important to effectively distinguish the signal event from the accidental background.





## Photo sensor used for beam test

### • We read out 704 MPPCs + 192 PMTs.

- Due to the event rate issue, we are reading out 4 crate at the same time.
- MPPC @ over voltage 7V
- PMT @ gain 1.6x10<sup>6</sup>
   (~ same w/ MEG I)
- Several dead channels found.
  - 8 dead MPPCs
  - 4 dead PMTs



w/ TRG : red, yellow, magenta

## 19

## Beam test

				Se	Nov/25 5th crate ready nsor calib. co	26 mmissioning
27 TC run start	28 periodical r	29 <b>nonitoring</b>	30	Dec/1	2	3
4	5 <b>periodical</b> r	6 CW failure <b>nonitoring</b>	7	8 6th crate ready	9	10
11 XEC run start	12 muon beam l	13 AmBe DAQ	14	15	16 <b>AmBe</b>	17 AmBe
18 circuit breaker down <b>muon DAQ</b> (	19 <b>v/ collimator</b>	20 n generator muon b	21 Deam DAQ	22 Refrigerator stop		

## MPPC gain vs temp.

#### MPPC Gain History (All channel average)









# MPPC crosstalk & afterpulse

- Production lot dependence
   is observed (as is expected from R&D)<sup>1.7</sup>
- Charge variation b/w production lot is largely suppressed by applying calibration.



#### Charge distribution (average) from a LED run



## **PMT** Gain

## PMT Gain

- PMT gain was measured by two independent methods.
  - 1. By LED intensity scan. (Absolute meas. of gain.)
    - Based on Poisson statistics of arrived # of p.e. from LED light.
  - 2. By charge of LED at fixed light intensity. (Relative meas. of gain change.)
- Those two methods shows consistent behavior with ~2 % precision.
- Gradual decrease of gain is aging of PMT under beam (known from MEG I).

## LXe light yield

• aa



- PMT gain calibration by intensity scan
   + relative gain monitor by fixed intensity LED .
  - HV is decided to have
     1.6x10<sup>6</sup> w/o COBRA b-field.
- PMT gain is affected by COBRA & beam.
  - The size of effect has individual difference.
- Gain history database will be prepared for each PMT.

\* : Intensity scan Line : Fixed LED charge



# Light yield

• aa



## Event display example --pileup event-

26





## Gamma-ray DAQ

- Trigger for gamma-ray DAQ
  - Trigger is generated
     when sum of MPPC waveforms
     exceeds a given threshold.
  - Threshold is set to 30-43 MeV.



- Energy spectrum
  - Energy scale calibrated at low energy (4.4, 9 MeV)gamma source.



## Energy spectrum - AmBe-

- We took 4.4 MeV  $\gamma$  from AmBe.
- DAQ by self-trigger. Use WDB gain 5.
  - At WDB gain 1 (same config w/ signal),
     S/N ratio was too bad to trigger 4.4MeV.
- Offline event selection by Q/A of waveform to reject alpha event.



Very Preliminary



# Energy spectrum -n generator-very Preliminary

- We took 9MeV γ from neutron generator.
- DAQ by self-trigger. Use WDB gain 5.



## Rough estimate of energy scale <sup>4</sup>

- AmBe & n generator is consistent w/ 1% precision.
- From AmBe and n generator, 52.8MeV is estimated to be corresponding to "nsum2 = 13.7x10<sup>6</sup>"
- This is roughly consistent w/ beam γ spectrum.





## **Reconstructed energy in MC**

• Energy deposit > 48MeV



## Time walk calib.

- Photo sensors are divide into 6 groups.
  - MPPC Lot A-D
  - Outer PMT
  - Side PMT.
- Time walk effect even w/ constant fraction.
- Slight difference can be seen at smaller # of p.e.
   b/w Production Lots.
   (depends on analysis paramet



## Asymmetric Dt distribution

- Asymmetric distribution of " $t_{pm} t_{walk} t_{TOF} t_{\gamma}$ " is observed.
  - @small # of p.e. MPPC.
- This asymmetry is taken into account in the Tγ reconstruction.
  - PDF of the fit is generated from this mean
- Parametrize by ExpGaus Fit.
  - Gauss + smoothly connected Exp.





## One ch resolution.



Data & MC w/ noise gets consistent on sigma.



0.1

0.12

## Effect of low-pass filter

- We can improve one ch timing resolution by using moving average low-pass filter.
- Filter probably eliminates high frequency noise.



## **Offset calibration**

- Ch time offset can be obtained by checking " $t_{pm} - t_{walk} - t_{TOF} - t_{\gamma}$ " channel by channel.
- Some offset was observed.





## **Offset calibration**

- RMS of MPPC time offset is ~ 160ps. consistent w/ Mitsutaka & Rina 's study.
- PMT is found to have larger distribution.



## **Even-odd resolution**

- Data and MC w/ noise gets consistent at end point.
  - Still different at small qsum region.
    - Likely due to conversion depth difference.



## Noise situation

aa



80 MHz noise template

• 80\*n MHz component can be extracted.



## 120 MHz noise template



**Result - FFT-**

#### • High frequency noise is subtracted!



## 80MHz sync noise reduction

 There was one bad MPPC ch (voltage calib lost???), in the run I used before.



80MHz sync noise reduction works well also for MPPC.



## Noise rms

- 44
- Thanks to the noise reduction, noiserms is improved from 1.7mV to 0.8mV.
- Still larger than MEG I level (~0.4mV).

